

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
7 **WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE**

8 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

NO. MJ12-0028

9 Plaintiff,

10 v.

11 CARLOS A. SANTIAGO-CORTES

DETENTION ORDER

12 Defendant.

13  
14 Offense charged:

15 Conspiracy to Distribute Controlled Substances, in violation of 21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1),  
16 841(b)(1)(A), 841(b)(1)(B) and 846

17 Date of Detention Hearing: January 30, 2012

18 The Court, having conducted a detention hearing pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), and  
19 based upon the factual findings and statement of reasons for detention hereafter set forth, finds:

20 FINDINGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION

- 21 1. Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e), there is a rebuttable presumption that  
22 defendant is a flight risk and a danger to the community based on the nature of  
23 the pending charges. Application of the presumption is appropriate in this case.
- 24 2. Defendant is a citizen of Mexico, notwithstanding his presence in this  
25 community for 25 years. An Immigration and Customs Enforcement (“ICE”)  
26 detainer has been filed against him. This factor, by itself, might not warrant  
detention, but it is a factor that cannot be ignored. More importantly perhaps, in

DETENTION ORDER

18 U.S.C. § 3142(i)

Page 1

1 the Court's opinion, is the fact that defendant appears to have been less than  
2 candid and forthright with Pretrial Services regarding his citizenship. He  
3 claimed he was a naturalized citizen, yet, he applied for political asylum in 1995  
4 and subsequently withdrew his application. He was not assigned an A-number.  
5 It appears that despite his protestations to the contrary, there is no evidence of  
6 his legal status in the United States aside from his statements. Defendant's lack  
7 of candor in his statements to Pretrial Services on this issue raises serious  
8 questions as to whether he will comply with supervision terms if released.

9 3. Defendant is associated with multiple identifiers. Although defendant proffered  
10 that this is due to dropping a portion of his hyphenated last name, this proffer  
11 does not withstand scrutiny regarding his association with different dates of  
12 birth.

13 4. The weight of evidence against the defendant, although the least important  
14 detention-decision factor, is strong, cannot be ignored and supports the decision  
15 to detain.


16 5. There are no conditions or combination of conditions other than detention that  
17 will reasonably assure the appearance of defendant as required or ensure the  
18 safety of the community.

19 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

- 20 (1) Defendant shall be detained and shall be committed to the custody of the  
21 Attorney General for confinement in a correction facility separate, to the extent  
22 practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody  
23 pending appeal;
- 24 (2) Defendant shall be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with  
25 counsel;
- 26

- 1 (3) On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the  
2 government, the person in charge of the corrections facility in which defendant  
3 is confined shall deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the  
4 purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding; and  
5 (4) The Clerk shall direct copies of this Order to counsel for the United States, to  
6 counsel for the defendant, to the United States Marshal, and to the United States  
7 Pretrial Services Officer.

8 DATED this 30th day of January, 2012.

9   
10 JAMES P. DONOHUE  
11 United States Magistrate Judge  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26